

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Lawrence G. Reid JR.			
Lawrence G. Reid JR.	00471/268588	7582	
	EXAMI	INER	
	DEMILLE, D	DANTON D	
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Winston-Salem, NC 27101		3764	
		DEMILLE, D	

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/075,423	REID ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Danton DeMille	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 May 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-28</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-20 and 25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-10,12-14,21-24 and 26-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-132)			

Application/Control Number: 10/075,423 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification and claims still make reference to trademark ZIPLOC®. It should be capitalized wherever it appears. The proprietary nature of the mark should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks. SEE MPEP 608.01(v).

2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The outer, middle and inner layer have already been recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. Claims 1, 3-7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 21-24, 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bride-Flynn in view of Francis.
- 5. Bride-Flynn teaches a chamber 22 having two walls of material 26 shown in figure 5. A mouth 14 has a ZIPLOC® closure seal 20 fixedly attached to the inside surface of the two walls. An attachment mechanism 32 is fixedly attached to the bag for positioning and holding the chamber in a desired location.
- 6. Bride-Flynn appears silent with regard to the details of the composition of the chamber however, any conventional material in the art would have been an obvious provision. Francis

Application/Control Number: 10/075,423

Art Unit: 3764

teaches the composition of the two walls of a therapeutic bag comprising an inner film 18 of nylon sclair and an outer film 20 of nylon in column 3, lines 3-6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Bride-Flynn to use the nylon double layer material for the chamber walls as taught by Francis to provide the details of composition of the plastic bag. The resulting bag would have the outer layer of insulating absorbable material 28 and the two layers of the plastic bag of nylon would be the middle and inner layer of the bag. Thereby comprehending the invention as claimed.

Page 3

- 7. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Hubbard et al.
- Hubbard teaches the waterproof bag inner layer 42 is bonded to the outer layers 44 and 46. Bride-Flynn may not teach that the outer layer 28 is bonded to the waterproof bag 26 however, such would have been an obvious provision to make the bag of uniform construction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify Bride-Flynn to bond the outer layer to the inner layers as taught by Hubbard to make the bag of uniform, integral construction.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-14, 21-24, 26-28 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,423

Art Unit: 3764

11. The only difference between claim 1 and Bride-Flynn is the fact that each wall of the bag

Page 4

is composed of three layers with the middle layer being made of nylon. Broadly, a nylon plastic

bag even composed of just two layers would broadly comprehend the claim. There appears to be

no unobviousness to make the plastic bag made out of nylon. Such is well known as exemplified

by Francis.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

13. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

ddd

14 August, 2004

(703) 308-3713

Fax: (703) 872-9306

danton.demille@uspto.gov

Danton DeMille Primary Examiner Art Unit 3764